

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

In re patent application of:)	
Ronald G. Thieman)	Before the Examiner
)	Hemant Desai
Serial No. 10/772,574)	
)	Group Art Unit 3721
Filed: February 2, 2004)	
)	
METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PLACING)	
A PRODUCT IN A FLEXIBLE RECLOSABLE)	
CONTAINER)	August 30, 2006

**COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT
OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Mail Stop: Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Please consider the following remarks. No fees are believed to be due. If any fees are deemed to be necessary, please charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-0410 but not to include any payment of issue fees.

<p>CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION</p> <p>I certify that this correspondence is being submitted electronically to the United States Patent and Trademark Office over the Internet through the PAIR system on August 30, 2006.</p> <p><u>JOHN V. DANILUCK</u> Registered Representative</p> <p>_____ /John V. Daniluck/ Signature</p> <p>_____ August 30, 2006 Date of Signature</p>

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the Notice of Allowance mailed 10 August 2006.

Applicant has reviewed the Examiner's Statement of Reason for Allowance. Applicant agrees that the prior art of record fails to teach or render obvious the claims pending in the present application.

Applicant does not intend for the allowed claims to be limited according to the statements provided in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance. The scope of the allowed claims is to be determined by the language of the claims. Applicant objects to the Examiner's Reasons to the extent that they suggest a claim scope narrower or different than that offered by the claim language itself. As one example, the Reasons include that the "interlockable profiles with a plurality of sliders and plurality of fused portions is attached to the bag." Applicant notes that the claim elements of claim 86 do not require attachment of the profiles to a bag.

Each claim is allowable because each claim recites a combination of elements not disclosed or suggested by any references. The invention resides in the combination of elements as variously recited in the claims, and not in the presence of any one or a few particular elements or limitations, or in the presence of any one or particular claim. Further, it is understood that each claim stands on its own merits.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /John V. Daniluck/
John V. Daniluck, Reg. No. 40,581
Bingham McHale LLP
2700 Market Tower
10 W. Market Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 968-5529 – telephone
(317) 236-9907 – facsimile